

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,742	11/08/2001	Shinji Inokuchi	214338	4941
23460	7590 07/07/2005		EXAM	INER
	OIT & MAYER, LTD		NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE		4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L 60601-6780		1772	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005 ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/007,742	INOKUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma	arch 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 3-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 3-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>		2				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2)	~~~	atent Application (PTO-152)				

M

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Repeated Rejections

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 3, 5 and 7-9 over Yamamoto et al. in view of Meyer is repeated for the reasons of record in the paper dated September 15, 2004 for the reasons previously of record.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 4 and 6 over Yamamoto et al. in view of Meyer and Tokunaga et al. is repeated for the reasons of record in the paper dated September 15, 2004 for the reasons previously of record.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed March 15, 2005 with regard to the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 3, 5 and 7 – 9 over Yamamoto et al. in view of Meyer have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Yamamoto et al. teach only heat sealing resin to a base material and one would not be motivated to use the resin as release liner since it would have completely opposite technical functions and effects, a recitation directed to the manner in which a claimed article is to be used does not distinguish the claimed article from the prior art if the prior art has the capability to so perform. Meyer clearly shows that it is known in the art that an ethylene/alpha olefin copolymer may function as a release surface for a liner in combination

Application/Control Number: 10/007,742

Art Unit: 1772

with an adhesive layer (Column 1, line 66 to Column 2, line 5), while Yamamoto et al. disclose that it is known to use the material with the desired properties of an ethylene resin is 50 – 100 % of the resin material with an eluted component of 3 wt% to 30 – wt% adhesive material.

Yamamoto et al. and Meyer are directed towards different adhesive properties, i.e. heat sealing and pressure sensitive adhesive, they are analogous art as they are directed towards adhesive sheet material.

Page 3

In response that Meyer directly teaches away from preparing a release sheet with the resin of Yamamoto et al. based upon the densities of the polyethylene being used, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected from the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to establish *prima facie* obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the liner of Yamamoto et al. would be able to be used with pressure sensitive adhesive.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4 and 6 over Yamamoto et al. in view of Meyer in view of Tokunaga et al. have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as the arguments are directed towards the combination of Yamamoto et al. in view of Meyer, which were responded to above.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,742 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER